

EAST CENTRAL FLORIDA CORRIDOR TASK FORCE



MEETING EVALUATION FORM

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being completely agree, and 1 being completely disagree, please complete this form to help us evaluate our performance and improve. Thank you!

| Were Meeting Objectives Met? | Strongly Disagree | | | | Strongly Agree |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| Receive additional background briefings on items requested by members | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Continue to develop draft guiding principles for future corridor planning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Continue discussion of general purpose, need, and location of major corridor investments | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Discuss opportunities for greater consistency among regional and local plans | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Review working drafts of report sections | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Review edits to 4Cs papers | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Discuss community workshop plans | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Obtain public input | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Identify action items and next steps | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

| Was the Meeting Organization Effective? | Strongly Disagree | | | | Strongly Agree |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| Background and agenda packet were helpful | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Presentations were effective and informative | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Plenary discussion format was effective | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Facilitator guided participant efforts effectively | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Participation was balanced | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

What did you like best about the meeting?

What could be improved?

Other comments (use the back if needed)